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Introduction
Among the Commonwealth’s 53 member states, 30 have populations below 2.5 million and 23 of these have populations below a million. Small states therefore comprise over half of all member states. The Commonwealth has a strong mandate to give special attention to small states, and a considerable history of having done so.

In the education sector, a milestone in this work was a 1985 specialist meeting in Mauritius. The participants noted wide diversity in the societies, economies and geographies of the Commonwealth small states, but nevertheless identified commonalities arising from smallness and the need for approaches that differed from those suited to large states. The report of the meeting (Commonwealth Secretariat, 1986, pp.5–6) noted that:

The style of educational development … is too frequently modelled on what is appropriate and fashionable in large states. Small countries are not simply a scaled-down version of large countries. They have an ecology of their own. We believe that there is a cluster of factors which suggest particular strategies in the smaller states of the world.

The report analysed ways in which in the societies of small states might lack economies of scale, for example in providing specialist higher education. More positively, it noted that small states may have certain assets because they are states rather than just parts of larger entities. The report also considered the benefits and challenges of intimate societies, which have multiple overlapping relationships and in which it sometimes seems that everybody knows everybody else. The commentary included a focus on strategies for partnerships in which small states could address challenges in regional or other groupings, possibly with the collaboration of larger states.

New challenges and opportunities in the 21st century
A decade into the 21st century, partnerships are as important as they were in previous eras. In some respects, however, both contexts and modalities have changed. The increased intensity of globalisation is especially evident, which brings both challenges and opportunities. As noted by Bacchus (2008, p.141), getting the best from these developments is something that small states cannot do by themselves ‘because they are usually “takers” rather than “makers” of the world economic policies’. The global economic crisis, which commenced at the end of 2008, has hit at least some small states with disproportionate severity, especially those that relied heavily on the banking sector and tourism.

More positively, small states have greatly benefited from the technological advances associated with globalisation. Previous generations felt that small states were disadvantaged by a lack of ability to establish and maintain specialist libraries, for example, and to gain access to specialist professional advice. The internet has meant that a household or institution in a small state can have the same access through this medium as a household or institution in a large state. Moreover, small states are using technology to make links over vast areas. Perhaps especially exciting is the Virtual University for the Small States of the Commonwealth (VUSSC), the seeds of which were sown during the 2000 Conference of Commonwealth Education Ministers in Halifax, Canada (West and Daniel, 2009). The initiative, which has been spearheaded by the Commonwealth of Learning (COL), was launched in 2004 and within four years served 32 countries. The VUSSC is not so much an institution as a network. Various short courses at the post-secondary level have been prepared on such themes as tourism, fisheries, disaster management and construction.

Other changes over the decades have included expanded demand for education. As states achieve first universal primary education and then universal secondary education, demand increases for expansion of tertiary education. Further, expansion of tertiary education is widely considered very desirable in the global knowledge economy of which small states, like their larger counterparts, wish to be a part. Some of this demand for tertiary education is met by the private sector, and small states have been part of the significant shift of balance in this domain (Altbach and Levy, 2005; Raby and Valeau, 2009). This growth in tertiary education in small states has been facilitated not only by the rise of the internet but also by the advent of low-cost travel, which allows institutions to serve wider geographic areas. However, small states may also be vulnerable to entrepreneurs who aim to market sub-standard and fake credentials to larger states. The number of ‘degree mills’ seems to have greatly expanded, and such enterprises can damage the reputations of the host countries (Hallak and Poisson, 2008).

Educational planning and research priorities for small states
Such considerations highlight the demands set for planners in small states, who may need additional or to some extent different skills from their counterparts in larger states (Bray, 1992; Atchoarena,
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is Director of UNESCO’s International Institute of Educational Planning (IIEP) in Paris, is working in collaboration with educational development agencies (many of whom find it easier to adopt a ‘one size fits all’ policy), making it extremely difficult to bring its own perspective to policy decisions taken on its behalf.

Small states will always be constrained in this domain, but one avenue to resolve the problem lies in collaboration with counterparts in larger states (Crossley, 2008, p.249).


The research needs of small states may also have a wider focus as they may even touch upon the paradigms for research. Louisy (2001, pp.435–36) raised this matter from the perspective of the small Caribbean island of St Lucia:

> It is not easy to avoid the dangers of ‘uncritical international transfer’ if one lacks the national or institutional capacity to undertake the type of research or investigative enquiry necessary to ‘customise’ the experiences of others ... The region’s continued dependence on external financing for its development projects further strengthens the control of the development agencies (many of whom find it easier to adopt a ‘one size fits all’ policy), making it extremely difficult to bring its own perspective to policy decisions taken on its behalf. Small states will always be constrained in this domain, but one avenue to resolve the problem lies in collaboration with counterparts in larger states (Crossley, 2008, p.249).

It is in the light of the above issues and global developments that a team of researchers, from the specialist Education in Small States Research Group (www.smallstates.net) at the University of Bristol in the UK and UNESCO’s International Institute for Educational Planning (IIEP) in Paris, is working in collaboration with educational personnel from small states worldwide. Building upon and extending the review by Crossley and Holmes (1999) of the work of the Commonwealth Secretariat in this domain, the team is examining the nature, impact and implications of changing global trends on educational policy and practice in the small states of the Commonwealth. The work involves a conceptual and theoretical reconsideration of the benefits and challenges of the small states’ ‘lens’ and categorisation; original statistical work, drawing upon government and international surveys and databases; in-depth interviews with policy-makers, planners and practitioners within small states; consultative feedback processes; and theoretically informed and critical reviews of the related international literature. The work is designed to generate new knowledge in this arena, to inform future educational policy debates in small states, and to examine the case for new or strengthened co-operative initiatives for education in small states, notably for the Commonwealth Secretariat and other Commonwealth organisations.

Given the cross-cultural and contextual sensitivities stressed in this paper, the potential for such small states work is considered within a framework that acknowledges how small states themselves have considerable differences. This work also recognises how improved understanding of similarities and differences can generate valuable insights that help to ‘tutor our judgement’ (Stenhouse, 1979, p.5) and promote mutually beneficial co-operation across small states. The implications of this form of analysis, for strengthening local research and evaluation capacity within and across Commonwealth small states, also deserve concerted attention by all engaged in education and international development co-operation within and beyond the Commonwealth.
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